c.f. 1002 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH:BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 2041 /2004.

PETITIONER : Purushottam Sarathi S/o late Shri Gangaram Sarathi, aged about 20 years, R/o village Seoni, Tahsil Champa Distt. Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

V e r s u s

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of C.G.
Through : Secretary,
Department of Water Resources,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Engineer,
Hasdeo-Bango Project, Bilaspur (C.G.)
3. Executive Engineer
Mini Mata Bango Canal
Division No.5, Kharsia (C.G.)

P.R. No. 30.20.04
Presented by Shri R.N. Pandey
dated 12-7-04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA :

64

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 2041 of 2004

Petitioner : Purushottam Sarathi

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Shri A. N. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Sumit Verma, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORAL ORDER
(4th May, 2006)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the son of Shri Gangaram, who was working as Chowkidar (Class-IV) in the office of the Respondent No. 3/Executive Engineer, Mini Mata Bango Canal, Division No. 5, Kharsia. Shri Gangaram was died in harness on 13.12.2002
2. The petitioner, being one of the dependant-legal heirs of the deceased filed an application on 20.5.2003 for compassionate appointment before the Executive Engineer/respondent No. 3. According to learned counsel for the petitioner, the case was not considered on the ground that the petitioner had not completed 10 years of service as regular employee. Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out the circular dated 20.9.2005 (Annexure P/7), wherein there is no condition of working as 10 years on regular basis
3. The State Government is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in

view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated-above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur